

Ch. 6 Memory Errors

Errors of Omission

- Errors of omission- a mistake that consists of not doing something you should have done
 - Forgotten memories
 - Implies memory was encoded, stored, and then lost
 - Organic causes- trauma, illness, disease
 - Developmental causes- trauma, illness, disease
 - i.e. infantile amnesia
 - Time- memory fades over time, becomes less distinct
 - Repressed memories
 - Unconsciously blocked due to high level of stress or trauma
 - Controversy
 - Some studies conclude that it can occur while others dispute it
 - Some psychologists believe it can be uncovered with therapy while others believe process leads to false memories

Errors of Commission

- Errors of commission- a mistake that consists of doing something you should not have done
 - False memories- confabulation- implies distortion or malleability of memories
 - Recall of events that did not occur or misattribution of the source of a memory
- Types of memory errors-
 - Misinformation effect - the change in memory due to the presentation of information that is relevant to the target memory, the power of suggestion
 - Imagination inflation - simply imagining an event can cause a person to remember exaggerated details of a memory, or to remember an entire memory that never occurred
 - Consistency bias- distorting influence of present knowledge and beliefs on memory of the past; tendency to reconstruct the past to fit the present
 - Source confusion- incorrectly attributing specific information about the source of a memory

Source Monitoring

- Source monitoring- ability to identify where knowledge comes from; can be difficult to tell if a memory is something we observed, heard about, thought about, imagined, or even dreamt about
- Source monitoring error - caused by limited encoding of source information or disruption in the judgment process used in source-monitoring
 - External source monitoring
 - Discriminating between two externally retrieved sources
 - i.e. remembering which of two friends said something
 - Internal source monitoring
 - Discriminating between two internally derived sources
 - i.e. remembering whether you said something or thought about saying something; did I turn off the stove or just think about it?
 - Reality monitoring
 - Discriminating between internal and external sources
 - i.e. remembering whether you witnessed something in person or saw it on TV

False Memory

- Original research done by Deese in 1959 (but it was ignored for a while)
 - Subjects- college students
 - Given lists of 12 related words to remember
 - Words in each list were highly associated with one word not in the list
 - List= bed, chair, couch, lamp, tv
 - False word= table
 - Asked for immediate recall
 - Findings
 - Falsely recalled critical lure, target word 44% of the time
 - Rate varies depending on the list
- Roediger and McDermott replicated Deese's research in 1995
 - Used Deese's methodology, increased list length, used recognition instead of recall
 - Asked subjects to distinguish between remembering and knowing
 - Remembering- subjects asked to judge if they can mentally relive the experience of the item being presented
 - Knowing- subjects asked if they are confident that it occurred without having a memory for the event

DRM Paradigm

- Roediger and McDermott (1995) findings

- Recognition of the lure 84% of the time – even more likely than words actually presented on the list
- Subjects reported critical lures as ‘remembered’ - 72% of the time
- Evidence of subjects ‘remembering’ events that never happened
- Widely studied and discussed ever since - procedure is known as the Deese-Roediger-McDermott (DRM) paradigm
- Explanations
 - Associative model of memory
 - Associated words are networked in memory
 - Activation of associated words spreads to **critical lure**-spreading activation
 - False recognition due to residual activation
 - Sourcing monitoring error- thinking about the lure during the list reading and incorrectly attributing that memory to the list

Misleading Questions

- Loftus & Palmer (1974)
 - Subjects
 - Observed a film of an automobile collision
 - They were asked leading questions- “about how fast were the cars going when they (verb’ed) each other”
 - One week later asked if there was broken glass (there was none)
 - Findings—
 - Estimates of speed were influenced by the language of the question, i.e. the verb used
 - Speed estimates influenced likelihood of reporting broken glass
 - Suggests memory was impaired and distorted by the language used to ask the question

Post-Event Misinformation

- Loftus Miller Burns (1978)
 - Subjects
 - Shown slides of an auto pedestrian accident
 - Half subjects are shown a yield sign
 - Other half shown a stop sign
 - Subjects then read a description about the event- either consistent or inconsistent with the yield or stop sign
 - Subjects tested on what they say
 - After 20 minutes
 - Control group given neutral information in description - 60% accurate