

hence  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma(\mathcal{H}^+)$ . For a fixed  $h$  and  $C$ , the continuous function  $(1 - (h/C)^r)^+$  of  $h$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}^+$ , and it increases monotonely to the indicator of  $\{h < C\}$ . Thus the indicators of all sets in  $\mathcal{E}$  belong to  $\mathcal{H}^+$ . The assumptions about  $\mathcal{H}^+$  ensure that the class  $\mathcal{B}$  of all sets whose indicator functions belong to  $\mathcal{H}^+$  is stable under finite intersections (products), complements (subtract from 1), and increasing countable unions (montone increasing limits). That is,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system, stable under finite intersections, and containing  $\mathcal{E}$ . It is a sigma-field containing  $\mathcal{E}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma(\mathcal{H}^+)$ . That is,  $\mathcal{H}^+$  contains all indicators of sets in  $\sigma(\mathcal{H}^+)$ .

Finally, let  $k$  be a bounded, nonnegative,  $\sigma(\mathcal{H}^+)$ -measurable function. From the fact that each of the sets  $\{k \geq i/2^n\}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, 4^n$ , belongs to the cone  $\mathcal{H}^+$ , we have  $k_n := 2^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{4^n} \{k \geq i/2^n\} \in \mathcal{H}^+$ . The functions  $k_n$  increase monotonely to  $k$ , which consequently also belongs to  $\mathcal{H}^+$ .

**<45> Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{H}^+$  be a  $\lambda$ -cone of bounded, nonnegative functions, and  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subclass of  $\mathcal{H}^+$  that is stable under the formation of pointwise products of pairs of functions. Then  $\mathcal{H}^+$  contains all bounded, nonnegative,  $\sigma(\mathcal{G})$ -measurable functions.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{H}_0^+$  be the smallest  $\lambda$ -cone containing  $\mathcal{G}$ . From the previous Lemma, it is enough to show that  $\mathcal{H}_0^+$  is stable under pairwise products.

Argue as in Theorem <38> for  $\lambda$ -systems of sets. A routine calculation shows that  $\mathcal{H}_1^+ := \{h \in \mathcal{H}_0^+ : hg \in \mathcal{H}_0^+ \text{ for all } g \text{ in } \mathcal{G}\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -cone containing  $\mathcal{G}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{H}_1^+ = \mathcal{H}_0^+$ . That is,  $h_0g \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$  for all  $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}_0^+$  and  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Similarly, the class  $\mathcal{H}_2^+ := \{h \in \mathcal{H}_0^+ : h_0h \in \mathcal{H}_0^+ \text{ for all } h_0 \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_0^+\}$  is a  $\lambda$ -cone. By the result for  $\mathcal{H}_1^+$  we have  $\mathcal{H}_2^+ \supseteq \mathcal{G}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{H}_2^+ = \mathcal{H}_0^+$ . That is,  $\mathcal{H}_0^+$  is stable under products.

**<46> Exercise.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . Write  $\mathbf{C}_0$  for the vector space of all continuous real functions on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with compact support. Suppose  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . Show that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $g$  in  $\mathbf{C}_0$  such that  $\mu|f - g| < \epsilon$ . That is, show that  $\mathbf{C}_0$  is dense in  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$  under its  $\mathcal{L}^1$  norm.

**SOLUTION:** Define  $\mathcal{H}$  as the collection of all bounded functions in  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$  that can be approximated arbitrarily closely by functions from  $\mathbf{C}_0$ . Check that the class  $\mathcal{H}^+$  of nonnegative functions in  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $\lambda$ -cone. Trivially it contains  $\mathbf{C}_0^+$ , the class of nonnegative members of  $\mathbf{C}_0$ . The sigma-field  $\sigma(\mathbf{C}_0^+)$  coincides with the Borel sigma-field. Why? The class  $\mathcal{H}^+$  consists of all bounded, nonnegative Borel measurable functions.

To approximate a general  $f$  in  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ , first reduce to the case of nonnegative functions by splitting into positive and negative parts. Then invoke Dominated Convergence to find a finite  $n$  for which  $\mu|f^+ - f^+ \wedge n| < \epsilon$ , then approximate  $f^+ \wedge n$  by a member of  $\mathbf{C}_0^+$ . See Problem [26] for the extension of the approximation result to infinite measures.

## 12. Problems

- [1] Suppose events  $A_1, A_2, \dots$ , in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ , are independent: meaning that  $\mathbf{P}(A_{i_1} A_{i_2} \dots A_{i_k}) = \mathbf{P}A_{i_1} \mathbf{P}A_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{P}A_{i_k}$  for all choices of distinct subscripts  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$ , all  $k$ . Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}A_i = \infty$ .

- (i) Using the inequality  $e^{-x} \geq 1 - x$ , show that

$$\mathbf{P} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i = 1 - \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (1 - \mathbf{P}A_i) \geq 1 - \exp \left( - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbf{P}A_i \right)$$

- (ii) Let  $n$  then  $n$  tend to infinity, to deduce (via Dominated Convergence) that  $\mathbf{P} \limsup_i A_i = 1$ . That is,  $\mathbf{P}\{A_i \text{ i. o.}\} = 1$ .

REMARK. The result gives a converse for the Borel-Cantelli lemma from Example <29>. The next Problem establishes a similar result under weaker assumptions.

- [2] Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  be events in a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ . Define  $X_n = A_1 + \dots + A_n$  and  $\sigma_n = \mathbf{P}X_n$ . Suppose  $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\|X_n/\sigma_n\|_2 \rightarrow 1$ . (Compare with the inequality  $\|X_n/\sigma_n\|_2 \geq 1$ , which follows from Jensen's inequality.)

- (i) Show that

$$\{X_n = 0\} \leq \frac{(k - X_n)(k + 1 - X_n)}{k(k + 1)}$$

for each positive integer  $k$ .

- (ii) By an appropriate choice of  $k$  (depending on  $n$ ) in (i), deduce that  $\sum_1^{\infty} A_i \geq 1$  almost surely.
- (iii) Prove that  $\sum_m^{\infty} A_i \geq 1$  almost surely, for each fixed  $m$ . Hint: Show that the two convergence assumptions also hold for the sequence  $A_m, A_{m+1}, \dots$
- (iv) Deduce that  $\mathbf{P}\{\omega \in A_i \text{ i. o.}\} = 1$ .
- (v) If  $\{B_i\}$  is a sequence of events for which  $\sum_i \mathbf{P}B_i = \infty$  and  $\mathbf{P}B_i B_j = \mathbf{P}B_i \mathbf{P}B_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , show that  $\mathbf{P}\{\omega \in B_i \text{ i. o.}\} = 1$ .

- [3] Suppose  $T$  is a function from a set  $\mathcal{X}$  into a set  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and suppose that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is equipped with a  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}$ . Define  $\mathcal{A}$  as the sigma-field of sets of the form  $T^{-1}B$ , with  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$ . Show that there exists a  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}[0, \infty]$ -measurable function  $g$  from  $\mathcal{Y}$  into  $[0, \infty]$  such that  $f(x) = g(T(x))$ , for all  $x$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ , by following these steps.

- (i) Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field on  $\mathcal{X}$ . (It is called the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the map  $T$ . It is often denoted by  $\sigma(T)$ .)
- (ii) Show that  $\{f \geq i/2^n\} = T^{-1}B_{i,n}$  for some  $B_{i,n}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Define

$$f_n = 2^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \{f \geq i/2^n\} \quad \text{and} \quad g_n = 2^{-n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} B_{i,n}.$$

Show that  $f_n(x) = g_n(T(x))$  for all  $x$ .

- (iii) Define  $g(y) = \limsup g_n(y)$  for each  $y$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Show that  $g$  has the desired property. (Question: Why can't we define  $g(y) = \lim g_n(y)$ ?)

- [4] Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots$  be  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable functions from  $\mathcal{X}$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $\{\limsup_n g_n > t\} = \bigcup_{r > t} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i \geq n} \{g_i > r\}$ . Deduce, without any appeal to Example <8>, that  $\limsup g_n$  is  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ -measurable. Warning: Be careful about

strict inequalities that turn into nonstrict inequalities in the limit—it is possible to have  $x_n > x$  for all  $n$  and still have  $\limsup_n x_n = x$ .

- [5] Suppose a class of sets  $\mathcal{E}$  cannot separate a particular pair of points  $x, y$ : for every  $E$  in  $\mathcal{E}$ , either  $\{x, y\} \subseteq E$  or  $\{x, y\} \subseteq E^c$ . Show that  $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$  also cannot separate the pair.
- [6] A collection of sets  $\mathcal{F}_0$  that is stable under finite unions, finite intersections, and complements is called a field. A nonnegative set function  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is called a finitely additive measure if  $\mu(\cup_{i=1}^n F_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu F_i$  for every finite collection of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . The set function is said to be countably additive on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  if  $\mu(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} F_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu F_i$  for every countable collection of disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  whose union belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose  $\mu X < \infty$ . Show that  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{F}_0$  if and only if  $\mu A_n \downarrow 0$  for every decreasing sequence in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  with empty intersection. Hint: For the argument in one direction, consider the union of differences  $A_i \setminus A_{i+1}$ .
- [7] Let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be functions in  $\mathcal{M}^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$ , and let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Show that  $\mu(\vee_i f_i) \leq \sum_i \mu f_i \leq \mu(\vee_i f_i) + \sum_{i < j} \mu(f_i \wedge f_j)$  where  $\vee$  denotes pointwise maxima of functions and  $\wedge$  denotes pointwise minima.
- [8] Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure and  $f$  be a measurable function. For each positive integer  $k$ , show that  $\mu|f|^k < \infty$  if and only if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} \mu\{|f| \geq n\} < \infty$ .
- [9] Suppose  $\nu := T\mu$ , the image of the measure  $\mu$  under the measurable map  $T$ . Show that  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\nu)$  if and only if  $f \circ T \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ , in which case  $\nu f = \mu(f \circ T)$ .
- [10] Let  $\{h_n\}$ ,  $\{f_n\}$ , and  $\{g_n\}$  be sequences of  $\mu$ -integrable functions that converge  $\mu$  almost everywhere to limits  $h$ ,  $f$  and  $g$ . Suppose  $h_n(x) \leq f_n(x) \leq g_n(x)$  for all  $x$ . Suppose also that  $\mu h_n \rightarrow \mu h$  and  $\mu g_n \rightarrow \mu g$ . Adapt the proof of Dominated Convergence to prove that  $\mu f_n \rightarrow \mu f$ .
- [11] A collection of sets is called a monotone class if it is stable under unions of increasing sequences and intersections of decreasing sequences. Adapt the argument from Theorem <38> to prove: if a class  $\mathcal{E}$  is stable under finite unions and complements then  $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$  equals the smallest monotone class containing  $\mathcal{E}$ .
- [12] Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure on the Borel sigma-field  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  of a metric space  $X$ . Call a set  $B$  *inner regular* if  $\mu B = \sup\{\mu F : B \supseteq F \text{ closed}\}$  and *outer regular* if  $\mu B = \inf\{\mu F : B \subseteq F \text{ open}\}$
- (i) Prove that the class  $\mathcal{B}_0$  of all Borel sets that are both inner and outer regular is a sigma-field. Deduce that every Borel set is inner regular.
  - (ii) Suppose  $\mu$  is tight: for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a compact  $K_\epsilon$  such that  $\mu K_\epsilon^c < \epsilon$ . Show that the  $F$  in the definition of inner regularity can then be assumed compact.
  - (iii) When  $\mu$  is tight, show that there exists a sequence of disjoint compact subsets  $\{K_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $X$  such that  $\mu(\cup_i K_i)^c = 0$ .
- [13] Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure on the Borel sigma-field of a complete, separable metric space  $X$ . Show that  $\mu$  is tight: for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a compact  $K_\epsilon$  such that  $\mu K_\epsilon^c < \epsilon$ . Hint: For each positive integer  $n$ , show that the space  $X$  is a countable