



2. [6 pts.] Write either “ $\forall MP$ ” or “ $\forall MT$ ” or “ $\forall instantiation$ ” to tell which rule was used to reach the conclusion shown or say that the argument is “not valid” by any of these. You must use the Universe of all things as your domain for any quantified variables, you may use “b” as a name (instantiation) to represent Bessy, and you may ignore any “double negation” considerations. Predicates:  $C(x)$  = “x is a cow”,  $G(x)$  = “x eats grass”,  $T(x)$  = “x is a tiger”, and  $P(x)$  = “x is a good pet”.

a)	All cows eat grass. Bessy my pet eats grass. therefore Bessy is a cow	$\forall x \in U, C(x) \rightarrow G(x)$ $G(b)$ $C(b)$	NOT VALID
b)	No tigers eat grass. Bessy my pet eats grass. therefore Bessy is not a tiger.	$\forall x \in U, T(x) \rightarrow \sim G(x)$ $G(b)$ $\sim T(b)$	$\forall MT$
c)	All grass eating things make good pets. Bessy my pet eats grass. therefore Bessy is a good pet.	$\forall x \in U, G(x) \rightarrow P(x)$ $G(b)$ $P(b)$	$\forall MP$

3. [14 pts.] Use the handout of the “Logical Equivalence Rules” and the “Rules of Inference” to prove the following. It is a **Valid Argument** - you need to prove it without using a truth table.

P1	$\forall x \in D, P(x) \wedge Q(x)$
P2	$\forall y \in D, R(y) \rightarrow \sim Q(y)$
P3	$\forall z \in D, \sim P(z) \vee M(z)$
P4	$P(a)$ where $a \in D$
-----	
	therefore $\exists x \in D, M(x) \wedge \sim R(x)$

Line #	Logical Statement	Name of Rule	Line Numbers Used
1	$\sim P(a) \vee M(a)$	$\forall Instantiation$	P3
2	$\sim \sim P(a)$	Double Neg	P4
3	$M(a)$	Disjunctive Syll.	1,2
4	$P(a) \wedge Q(a)$	$\forall Instantiation$	P1
5	$Q(a)$	Conjunctive Simp	4
6	$\sim \sim Q(a)$	Double Negative	5
7	$\sim R(a)$	$\forall MT$	P2,6
8	$M(a) \wedge \sim R(a)$	Conjunctive Add.	3,7
9	$\exists x \in D, M(x) \wedge \sim R(x)$	Existential Gen.	8