

# Key Management & Distribution Issues (Part 2)

Bill Cheng

<http://merlot.usc.edu/cs530-s10>



Copyright 2006, 2009

## Key Management & Distribution Issues

### Practical Issues

- how to carry them
  - passwords vs. disks vs. smartcards
  - where do they stay, where do they go
  - how many do you have
  - how do you get them to begin with

### Classical crypto

- which type is right for your application

### Who needs strong secrets?

- How do you recover from exposed keys?

### Miscellaneous Issues

- security architectures



Copyright 2006, 2009

## Key Management Overview

### Key management is where much security weaknesses lie

- what types of keys to use for a system and how to check keys
  - want large key entropy (amount of randomness in keys)
    - nobody uses  $2^{63}$  — (inverses is bad<sup>2</sup>)
    - example of weak protocol: WEP
    - really short keys: PIN
    - verifiable plaintext attacks
      - Ex: Does this look like English?
        - ! plaintext contains a checksum, great! Let's automate this attack
      - known plaintext attacks
        - Ex: precomputed dictionary attack
          - need to add the password (then can only use dictionary attack)



Copyright 2006, 2009

## Key Management Overview (Cont...)

- where do you store the keys?
  - floppy disks, USB harddrives (can be encrypted)
  - smartcard
    - key never leaves card
    - not vulnerable to even keyboard sniff<sup>er</sup>
    - not popular in US, probably because high costs (cost of cards + cost of infrastructure)
    - variety of smartcards: tamper proof, tamper resistant, tamper evident (tamper evident is good enough for end users)
    - post-it notes?



Copyright 2006, 2009

## Key Management Overview (Cont...)

### how do you communicate about keys (key distribution)?

- conventional KDC
  - single key shared by both parties
  - generate and distribute keys
  - bind names to shared keys
  - public key: CA
    - public key published to the world
    - private key known only by owner
    - sign bindings of keys to names (provides integrity)
    - verifiable by multiple parties
  - third party certifies or distributes keys
    - certification infrastructure
    - authentication



Copyright 2006, 2009

## Key Management Overview (Cont...)

### Classical crypto

- one-time pad (truly random)
  - most secure, not vulnerable to attacks
  - $1^2$  pseudorandom number generator used, must have large IV
    - problem: key size must be as large as data size
    - limited applications
      - Ex: submarines
    - visual cryptography (next page)
    - conventional  $n^2$  keys
    - public key for keys
      - $n$  is number of parties



Copyright 2006, 2009

## Visual Cryptography

- Invented by Naor and Shamir (presented at EUROCRYPT'94)
- see [Doug Stinson's Visual Cryptography Page](#)

Pixel	Secret	Mask	Expansion	Secret	Mask	Expansion
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	0	1	0	1	0	0
3	1	0	1	0	1	1

2x data expansion


4x data expansion

- application: secret splitting
- perfect secrecy (just like a one-time pad)




Copyright © Steve Cook

## Visual Cryptography Example



Copyright © Steve Cook

## Visual Cryptography Example (Cont...)



- original image

SEND  
MORE  
MONEY



Copyright © Steve Cook

## Grey or Color Image



- If a pixel value is not just black/white
  - grey images - real value between 0 (black) and 1 (white)
  - color images - RGB, real value between 0 and 1 in each component color
  - in both cases, can approximate with pure black and white values
- Two basic approaches
- Mesa-coding - e.g., replace value by 1 if intensity  $\geq 0.5$  and replace value by 0 if intensity  $< 0.5$
  - error-diffusion - start with thresholding, carry error into the next pixel



Copyright © Steve Cook

## Error Diffusion



- Error diffusion
- If a pixel value is 0.8, approximate it with 1, the difference (0.2) is the error
- If the next pixel value is 0.3, the error in the previous pixel is subtracted, the resulting pixel value is 0.5
- $0.8 + 0.3 = 1 + 0.1$
- 0.1 is approximated by 0, the new error is 0.1
- keep going



Copyright © Steve Cook

## Key Management Overview (Cont...)



- Who needs strong secrets anyway? (sometimes, secrets are not needed, what is really needed is *integrity of association*)
- users?
  - need to prove identity
    - start with something not that confidential (SSN, mother's maiden name)
- servers?
  - private key is usually sitting on the server
    - not well protected
    - should probably put it on a smartcard
- the Security System?
  - such as Kerberos/KDC, must have strong secrets



Copyright © Steve Cook

## Key Management Overview (Cont...)

- Who needs strong secrets anyway? (cont...)
  - software?
  - DRM? (Digital Rights Management)
    - does it really work? (e.g., DVD player for Linux)
    - is it fair? (the entertainment industry wants everyone to pay for their work/copyright protection)
    - MS Palladium (Microsoft's secure computing base)
    - Pledge Music as the gatekeeper of identification and authentication
  - and systems?
    - keys for hardware
- Secret vs. Public
  - public integrity protected

## Practical Use of Keys

- Email (PGP or S/MIME)
  - hashes and message keys to be distributed and signed
- Conferencing
  - group key management (discuss and labor)
- Authentication (discuss and labor)
- SSL (digital labor)
  - and other "real time" protocols
  - key establishment

## Recovery from Exposed Keys

- Revocation lists (CRLs)
  - long lists
  - hard to propagate
- Lifetime expiration
  - short life allows assurance of validity at time of issue
- Realtime validation
  - Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP)
    - privacy concerns? (server knows who you have been communicating with)
- What about existing messages?

## Other Key Management Issues

- Key size vs. data size
  - affects security and usability
- Reuse of keys
  - multiple users, multiple messages
- Initial exchange
  - the bootstrap/registration problem
    - Ex: Web
      - use social security numbers?
      - use "personal" information?
        - 2002, Princeton admission e-mail improperly logged into Yale website using "personal" info
- confidentiality vs. authentication
  - sometimes you do not really need authentication

## Other Key Management Issues (Cont...)

- sometimes you do not really need authentication (cont...)
  - client is often unauthenticated (server often does not know who the client is)
  - long term relationship more important
  - if the "real owner" hasn't complained and this client is paying the bills, this client is probably the "real owner"
- Security architectures
  - put some security requirements together

## Security Architectures

- DSSA (Distributed Systems Security Architecture)
  - around 1987, originally from DEC
  - Ex: how to protect against booting from a CD and access all files on harddrive
    - hardware can checksum OS before loading the OS
      - if no match, don't load it
      - if match, create a certificate, pass it to the OS
    - delegation is the important issue
    - workstation can act as user
      - software can act as workstation
        - if given key
        - software can act as developer
          - if checksum validated
- complete chain needed to assume authority
- relies on sub-principals on authority - new sub-principal