

NIST Standards for Microanalysis and the Certification Process

Volume 107

Number 6

November–December 2002

R. B. Marinenko

National Institute of Standards and Technology,
Gaithersburg, MD 10899-8371

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has been involved in the development of standards for microanalysis since the middle of the 1960s. Certification of “traceable” standards that can be sold to other laboratories is time-consuming and costly, especially when the extent of microheterogeneity within each specimen becomes part of the uncertainty assigned to the certified values. The process of certification of microanalysis standards and the improvements that have

facilitated the process with the development of automation and computerization are reviewed.

Key words: certification; electron microprobe; microanalysis standards; microhomogeneity; microheterogeneity; standard reference materials for microanalysis.

Accepted: August 22, 2002

Available online: <http://www.nist.gov/jres>

1. Introduction

With the availability of the first commercially produced electron microprobe instruments in the early 1960s, x-ray microanalysis became a significant analytical technique in the Analytical Chemistry Division at NIST (then called the National Bureau of Standards). The person responsible for the development of electron probe microanalysis at NBS was Kurt F. J. Heinrich under whose leadership the Microanalysis Section made numerous contributions to improvements in instrumentation, to the determination of fundamental constants, to the development of matrix correction procedures, and to the development of standard reference materials for microanalysis. NBS had been involved in the development of metrological and analytical standards from its beginning, but microanalysis standards presented the additional requirement of determining the extent of heterogeneity of a research material on the micrometer scale. Only materials that exhibited minimum microheterogeneity could be certified as NBS SRMs for microanalysis.

2. Early SRMs

During the mid-1960s, SRMs being sold as bulk standards were also evaluated for use as microanalysis standards. Those that were found sufficiently homogeneous to be used as microanalysis standards were Cartridge Brass (SRM 478) and Low-Alloy Steels (SRMs 461 and 463) [1]. In the early 1970s several binary and ternary alloys were issued as SRMs for microanalysis—a W-20%Mo Alloy (SRM 480) [2], Fe-3Si (SRM 483) [3], the Au-Ag alloys (SRM 481) and Cu-Au Alloys (SRM 482) [4], the Fe-Cr-Ni alloy (SRM 479 and 479a) [5,6], and a group of four different steels, (SRMs 661–664). These materials were chosen in part because they were useful standards for quantitative microanalysis and because they were useful in the determination of basic x-ray parameters. In addition, these materials could be made with little heterogeneity both in the bulk material (from specimen to specimen) and on the micrometer scale (within each specimen). These materials were not

readily available commercially and if so, they certainly would not have been analyzed on the micrometer scale or certified at that level for microhomogeneity. Often special preparation procedures were required, such as repeated annealing, to achieve the desired level of homogeneity in metal alloys. This could be done with small batches of materials (like a few hundred grams) from which numerous microanalysis standards could be obtained.

Table 1 is a list of NBS/NIST SRMs for microanalysis that were certified between 1965 and the present. Many are no longer in stock (gray background), although for some (darker gray background) there is more material for a reissue if needed, but not without a considerable amount of work. Those with the complete white background are still in stock.

3. The Certification Process and More Recent SRMs

The question often asked is, "Why doesn't NIST provide more microanalysis standards?" There are several reasons. Firstly, most pure elements and many stoichiometric compounds are available commercially, and many naturally occurring minerals are available. These can be easily purchased and subsequently evaluated by

the user for microheterogeneity. In addition, there are a few commercial suppliers who purchase these commercially available materials, mount, polish, and evaluate them for resale as prepared microanalysis standards. NIST does not compete with such providers. Secondly, the fabrication and evaluation of research materials for certification as microanalysis standards is expensive and time-consuming. Details of the process will be described later. For these reasons, NBS/NIST scientists have concentrated their efforts on the development of more complex materials that were not available commercially and that might be more useful in quantitative electron probe microanalysis (EPMA), i.e., such as those that could be used in testing matrix correction procedures or in determining basic parameters.

During the latter part of the 1970s, glasses became popular as standards, throughout the microanalysis community. Because they are vitreous solids, many types of glasses can be made homogeneous on the micrometer scale. In addition, trace to minor amounts of elements can be added to glasses during the manufacturing process without changing the microhomogeneity. This fact provides the possibility of preparing standards with complex compositions. There are several limitations, though, with the use of glasses as standards. Not all oxides or phosphates or combination of oxides or phosphates readily form glasses, therefore limiting the num-

Table 1. NIST standard reference materials for microanalysis

SRM no.	Name	Form	Nominal composition (% Mass fract.)
461 & 463	Low Alloy Steel	Rods, ≈ 6 mm dia. $\times 10$ cm long	Fe with plus 25 other elements at or near trace level concentrations
470	Mineral Glasses for Microanalysis	Slices ($2 \times 2 \times 12$) mm ³	K-411, MgO,SiO ₂ ,CaO,FeO K-412, MgO,Al ₂ O ₃ ,SiO ₂ ,CaO,FeO
478	Cartridge Brass	Cube and Cylinder	Cu-73, Zn-27
479a	Fe-Cr-Ni Alloy	Wafer	Fe-71,Cr-18,Ni-11
480	Tungsten 20 % Molybdenum	Wafer	W-78,Mo-22
481	Gold-Silver Alloys	Six wires	Au 100;80;60;40;20;0 Ag 0;20;40;60;80;100
482	Gold-Copper Alloys	Six wires	Au 100;80;60;40;20;0 Cu 0;20;40;60;80;100
483	Iron-3 % Silicon	Small sheet	FE-97, SI-3
1871-1875	Glasses for Microanalysis (1872, 1873 in stock)	Slices ($2 \times 2 \times 12$) mm ³	15 Compositions of Various Oxides
2063a	Microanalysis Thin Film Mg-Si-Ca-Fe	Film on 3 mm Cu grid	K-411 glass (MgO,SiO ₂ ,CaO,FeO) used to prepare film
2066	K-411 Glass Microspheres	50 mg of 1 μ m to 40 μ m diameter spheres	K-411 glass (MgO,SiO ₂ ,CaO,FeO) used to make spheres
661	AISI 4340 Steel	3.2 mm \times 51 mm	Steels with the several additional elements in minor and trace amounts
662	AISI 84B17 Steel Cr-V	rods	
663	Steel High-Carbon		
664	Steel (Modified)		

ber of glass matrices that can be produced. Also, not all oxides or salts can be introduced into a glass at all concentrations without creating some microheterogeneity. And most importantly, glasses, especially those composed of only low atomic number elements, are more susceptible to electron beam damage than are metals, therefore requiring special attention to electron beam sampling procedures.

Several glasses were certified as NBS or NIST SRMs. These include the *Glasses for Mineral Analysis* (SRM 470) [7], K-411 and K-412, composed of the oxides of Mg, Al, Si, Ca, and Fe. A second group of 15 glasses were in part certified as *Glasses for Microanalysis* (SRMs 1871-1875) [8]. Five different glass matrices were used for each SRM. In each SRM were three glasses, one of the glass matrix only and two with the same matrix but each containing several different oxides in concentrations of 1.0 mass fraction or less. Glass fibres of some of these glasses were also sold as NBS Research Materials. More recently, glass microspheres made from K-411, above, were issued as SRM 2066 [9]. K-411 was also used in the preparation of SRM 2063a, a glass film on a Cu grid that was issued as an AEM (analytical electron microscope) standard [10].

There are several misconceptions in the microanalysis community about NIST and SRMs for microanalysis. First, there is a belief, especially among those who are just entering the microanalysis field, that NIST provides all microanalysis standards, that NIST will have available whatever they need, and that NIST can provide a complete set of "traceable to NIST" microanalysis standards. This, of course, is not the case for reasons cited above. Second, there is also the belief that any NIST SRM can be used for microanalysis. This is false since most NIST SRMs are for use in bulk analyses and have not been tested for microheterogeneity. Third, the certified values are valid regardless of how the SRM is prepared for analysis. When certified by NIST, much care must be taken in mounting and polishing these materials for microanalysis, whether a standard or an unknown material. In some cases there are specific instructions on how to prepare an SRM for use as a microanalysis standard.

As previously mentioned, the certification of any SRM, whether for microanalysis or bulk analysis, is a time-consuming and therefore an expensive process. Many people contribute to the process—microanalysis scientists, members of the Standard Reference Materials Program (SRMP), statisticians, materials scientists, and, in some cases, others from inside or outside NIST. Therefore, the usefulness of the standard must be carefully evaluated before work is begun. In the microanalysis community there are a limited number of laborato-

ries, therefore there may be some difficulty in selling enough SRMs to justify the investment in certification. Several questions must be asked. They are as follows:

Would it be a useful qualitative and quantitative standard for microanalysis?

Would it be useful for improving the understanding and/or determination of basic parameters?

Would it be useful for quality control?

Is this a material that is not readily available from commercial sources?

What is the sales potential of the material if it is certified?

How important would this material be for the commercial community if it were certified for microanalysis?

Once the work is justified, the research material must be fabricated if there is not already a source for it. In the past, some of the SRMs cited above were produced at NIST, such as the Fe-Cr-Ni alloy (SRM 479 and SRM 479a), as well as all of the glasses and the glass microspheres. Some were produced commercially, such as W-20%Mo Alloy (SRM 480) and the Au-Ag, Au-Cu alloys (SRMs 481 and 482). Of course, enough must be produced for distribution to potential purchasers. Initial evaluation would normally include bulk physical examination for such characteristics as clarity in the glasses and physical robustness to the environment as well as microscopic examination to evaluate the extent of voids, inclusions, and multiple phases. EPMA evaluation of the research material would include studies of backscatter and secondary electron images, qualitative and quantitative analyses, and testing of the within and between specimen heterogeneity. If the material were to fail the tests, i.e., if it appeared to be outside of the acceptable limits of micro- and macro- heterogeneity, it would be reprocessed, either refabricated or reannealed as occurred in the fabrication of the Au-Cu alloys [4]. Some SRMs, such as the asbestos SRMs, were naturally occurring, so a fabrication process was not necessary, but a rigorous sample preparation and evaluation testing procedure was necessary.

Usually, at this stage of the process when the material has been found satisfactory for SRM certification, funding must be acquired from SRMP to continue the work that may or may not have begun under some initial SRMP funding. If additional funds are not obtained, work would be delayed or terminated on the project.

If continued, a sampling strategy for heterogeneity testing is designed with the help of the NIST statisticians. Heterogeneity testing is an extremely important part of the process of certifying an SRM as a microanalysis reference standard. Unless individually assigned certified composition and uncertainty values, the speci-