

Planning

R&N Chap. 11

(and a tiny snippet of Chap. 8-9)

Limitations of Prop. Logic

- Not very expressive: To represent the fact that a flight can originate from any of n airports, we need n symbols:
FlyFromPITT, FlyFromSFO,
FlyFromORC,...
- Instead we would like to use more expressive sentences like:
For any airport x , FlyFrom(x)
→ First order logic (FOL)

FOL (The *extremely* short version!!)

- Same as before, plus:
 - Quantifiers: \forall, \exists
 - Variables: x, y, z
 - Predicates: $P(x,y)$ = logical expression with value True/False
 - Functions: $F(x)$

$\forall x, y, z \text{ Parent}(z, x) \wedge \text{Parent}(z, y) \Rightarrow \text{Sibling}(x, y)$

FOL

- **Substitution:** Replace a part of the sentence by another one.

$\text{SUBST}(\{x/\text{John}\}, \text{Rich}(x)) \rightarrow \text{Rich}(\text{John})$

- **Unification:** Find parts of two sentences that are identical after some substitution

$\text{UNIFY}(\text{SameCountry}(F(x), y), \text{SameCountry}(\text{John}, \text{Mary})) = \{F(x)/\text{John}, y/\text{Mary}\}$

FOL Inference: Resolution

- Resolution: Resolution can be extended to FOL, but more complicated

$$\frac{l_1 \vee l_2 \quad m_1 \vee m_2}{\text{SUBST}(\theta, l_1 \vee m_1)}$$

$\theta = \text{UNIFY}(l_2, \neg m_2)$

After some substitution, l_2 and $\neg m_2$ are the same

$$\frac{\text{UnHappy}(x) \vee \neg \text{Rich}(x) \quad \text{Rich}(\text{John})}{\text{UnHappy}(\text{John})}$$

$\theta = \{x / \text{John}\}$

FOL Inference: Chaining

- Chaining: Forward/backward chaining idea can be extended to KBs with sentences of the form:
 - $A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge A_3 \dots \Rightarrow B$

$\text{WindowsLocked}(x) \wedge \text{DoorLocked}(x) \Rightarrow \text{RoomSecure}(x)$