

Los Angeles Tall Buildings Structural Design Council



AN ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURE FOR SEISMIC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF TALL BUILDINGS LOCATED IN THE LOS ANGELES REGION

A CONSENSUS DOCUMENT



2005 EDITION



AN ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURE FOR SEISMIC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF TALL BUILDINGS LOCATED IN THE LOS ANGELES REGION

A consensus document developed by the Council:

Dr. Gregg Brandow

President, Brandow & Johnston Associates

Dr. Lauren Carpenter

Principal Engineer, Wong Hobach Lau

Mr. Brian L. Cochran

President, Brian Cochran and Associates

Dr. Gary C. Hart

Professor Emeritus of UCLA and Head of Hart-Weidlinger Div. of Weidlinger Associates

Dr. Sampson C. Huang

Associate Principal, Saiful/Bouquet, Inc.

Dr. Marshall Lew

Senior Principal/Vice President, MACTEC, Inc.

Mr. John A. Martin, Jr.

President, John A. Martin & Associates, Inc.

Dr. Farzad Naeim

Vice President and General Counsel, John A. Martin & Associates, Inc.

Mr. Clarkson W. Pinkham

President, S.B. Barnes Associates

Dr. Thomas A. Sabol

President, Englekirk & Sabol

Mr. Barry Schindler

Vice President, John A. Martin & Associates, Inc.

Mr. Donald R. Strand

Principal, Brandow & Johnston Associates

Mr. Nabih Youssef

President, Nabih Youssef & Associates

The Council expresses its gratitude to the following distinguished experts who have contributed to the development of this document:

Mr. Ron Klemencic, President, Magnusson Klemencic Associates, Seattle, WA

Prof. Helmut Krawinkler, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA

Dr. Joe Maffei, Structural Engineer, Rutherford & Chekens, Oakland, CA

Dr. Mike Mehrain, Principal Structural Engineer, URS Corporation, Los Angeles, CA

Prof. Jack Moehle, University of California, Berkeley and Director of PEER Center, Berkeley, CA

Prof. Graham Powell, Professor Emeritus, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA

Mr. Gary Searer, Structural Engineer, Wiss, Janney, Elstner Associates, Emeryville, CA

Dr. Paul Somerville, Principal Seismologist, URS Corporation, Pasadena, CA

Prof. John Wallace, University of California, Los Angeles, CA



CONTENTS

1. INTENT, SCOPE, JUSTIFICATION, AND METHODOLOGY.....	4
1.1. Intent.....	4
1.2. Scope	4
1.3. Justification.....	5
1.4. Methodology.....	5
2. ANALYSIS AND DESIGN PROCEDURE	7
2.1. STEP 1 – Serviceability Requirement	
(3D Response Spectrum Analysis, 50% in 30 Years Event, No Reductions)	12
2.1.1. Ground Motion	12
2.1.2. Mathematical Model.....	12
2.1.3. Description of Analysis Procedure	13
2.1.4. Acceptability Criteria.....	13
2.2. STEP 2 – Life Safety Requirement	
(3D Response Spectrum Analysis, 10% in 50 Years Event, Code Reductions).....	15
2.2.1. Ground Motion	15
2.2.2. Mathematical Model.....	15
2.2.3. Description of Analysis Procedure	16
2.2.4. Acceptability Criteria.....	16
2.3. STEP 3 – Collapse Prevention Requirement	
(3D Nonlinear Response History Analysis, 2% in 50 Years Event with Deterministic Limit) 17	17
2.3.1. Ground Motion	17
2.3.1.1 Design Spectra.....	17
2.3.1.2 Time Histories	17
2.3.1.3 Scaling of Time Histories	18
2.3.2. Mathematical Model.....	18
2.3.3. Description of Analysis Procedure	21
2.3.4. Acceptability Criteria.....	22
2.4 Exclusions.....	24
2.5. Design Review	26
2.5.1 Peer Review Panel.....	26
2.5.2 Assurance of Consistency and Quality of the Peer Review Process	26
References:	27