

Math 711: Lecture of September 13, 2006

In the proof of the preceding Theorem, we used Chevalley's Lemma:

Theorem. *Let M be a finitely generated module over a complete local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}, K) . Let $\{M_n\}_n$ be a decreasing sequence of submodules whose intersection is 0. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists N such that $M_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^k M$.*

Proof. For all h , the modules $M_n + \mathfrak{m}^h M$ are eventually stable (we may consider instead their images in the Artinian module $M/\mathfrak{m}^h M$, which has DCC), and so we may choose n_h such that $M_n + \mathfrak{m}^h M = M_{n'} + \mathfrak{m}^h M$ for all $n, n' \geq n_h$. We may replace n_h by any larger integer, and so we may assume that the sequence n_h is increasing. We replace the original sequence by the $\{M_{n_h}\}_h$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that $M_n + \mathfrak{m}^h M = M_{n'} + \mathfrak{m}^h M$ for all $n, n' \geq h$. We claim $M_k \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^k M$ for all k : if not, choose k and $v_k \in M_k - \mathfrak{m}^k M$. Now choose $v_{k+1} \in M_{k+1}$ such that $v_{k+1} \equiv v_k \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^k M}$, and, Recursively, for all $s \geq 0$ choose $v_{k+s} \in M_{k+s}$ such that $v_{k+s+1} \equiv v_{k+s} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{k+s} M}$: this is possible because $M_{k+s} \subseteq M_{k+s+1} + \mathfrak{m}^{k+s} M$. This gives a Cauchy sequence with nonzero limit. Since all terms are eventually in any given M_n , so is the limit (each M_n is \mathfrak{m} -adically closed), which is therefore in the intersection of the M_n . \square

We have been assuming that valuation domains V are integrally closed. It is very easy to see this: if f is in the fraction field L of V but not in V , then $x = 1/f$ is in the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of V . Some maximal \mathcal{M} of the integral closure V' lies over \mathfrak{m} , and so x is not a unit of V' , i.e., $f \notin V'$. Thus, $V' = V$.

It is also easy to see that if $K \subseteq L$ are fields and V is a valuation domain with fraction field L , then $V \cap K$ is a valuation domain with fraction field K . Moreover, if V is a DVR, then $V \cap K$ is a DVR or is K . For the first statement, each $f \in K - \{0\}$ has the property that f or $1/f$ is in V , and, hence, in $V \cap K$, as required. Now suppose that V is a DVR and that x generates the maximal ideal. Let $W = V \cap K \neq K$. Each nonzero element of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of W has the form ux^k in V , where k is a positive integer. Choose an element y of the maximal ideal of W such that k is minimum. Then every $z \in \mathfrak{m}$ is a multiple of y in V , and the multiplier is in W . Thus, \mathfrak{m} is principal. It follows that every nonzero element m has the form uy^t , where $t > 0$, since it is clear that the intersection of the powers of \mathfrak{m} is zero.

We next want to prove:

Theorem. *Let R be a Noetherian domain. Then the integral closure R' of R is the intersection of the discrete valuation rings between R and its fraction field L .*

Proof. Let $f = b/a$ be an element of L not in R' , where $a, b \in R$ and $b \neq 0$. It suffices to find a DVR containing R and not b/a : we may then intersect it with L . Localize at a prime of R in the support of the R -module $(R' + Rf)/R'$. Since localization commutes

with integral closure we may assume that (R, m, K) is local. Nonzero elements of R are nonzerodivisors in \widehat{R} by flatness, and so the fraction field of R embeds in the total quotient ring of \widehat{R} , and we may view b/a as an element of the total quotient ring of \widehat{R} . If $b + \mathfrak{p}$ is in the integral closure of $a(R/\mathfrak{p})$ for every minimal prime \mathfrak{p} of \widehat{R} , then b is integral over $a\widehat{R}$. If the equation that demonstrates the integral dependence has degree n , we find that $b^n \in (b^{n-1}a, b^{n-2}a^2, \dots, ba^{n-1}, a^n)\widehat{R}$, and since \widehat{R} is faithfully flat over R , this implies that $b^n \in (b^{n-1}a, b^{n-2}a^2, \dots, ba^{n-1}, a^n)R$ as well. Dividing by a^n then shows that b/a is integral over R , a contradiction. Thus, we can choose a minimal prime \mathfrak{p} of \widehat{R} such that $b + \mathfrak{p}$ is not integral over $a\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}$. It follows that \bar{b}/\bar{a} is not integral over \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} , where the bars over the letters indicate images in \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} . Note that R injects into \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} . Thus, the integral closure $(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p})'$ of \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} does not contain \bar{b}/\bar{a} , and since it is module-finite over \widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p} by the last Theorem of the Lecture of September 11, it is a normal Noetherian ring. Thus, it is an intersection of DVR's by part (a) of the last Theorem of the Lecture of September 8, and we can choose a DVR V containing $(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p})'$ and not \bar{b}/\bar{a} , which is the image of b/a , so that V contains the isomorphic image of R but not the image of b/a . Now we may intersect V with the fraction field of R . \square

Theorem. *Let R be any ring and let $I \subseteq J$ be ideals of R .*

- (a) *$r \in R$ is integral over I if and only if there exists an integer n such that $(I + rR)^{n+1} = I(I + rR)^n$. Thus, if J is generated over I by one element, then J is integral over R if and only if there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J^{n+1} = IJ^n$.*
- (b) *If $J^{n+1} = IJ^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $J^{n+k} = I^k J^n$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (c) *If $J^{n+1} = IJ^n$ and $Q \supseteq J$ is an ideal and $r \in \mathbb{N}$ an integers such that $Q^{r+1} = JQ^r$, then $Q^{n+r+1} = IQ^{n+r}$.*
- (d) *If J is integral over I and generated over I by finitely many elements, then there is an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J^{n+1} = IJ^n$. If R is Noetherian then J is integral over I if and only if there exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J^{n+1} = IJ^n$.*
- (e) *If R is a domain and M is a finitely generated faithful R -module such $JM = IM$ then J is integral over I . If R is a Noetherian domain, then J is integral over I if and only if there is a finitely generated faithful R -module M such that $JM = IM$.*

Proof. Note that

$$(I + rR)^n = I^n + rI^{n-1} + \dots + r^t I^{n-t} + \dots + r^n R.$$

Comparing the expansions for $(I + rR)^{n+1}$ and $I(I + rR)^n$, we see that the condition for equality is simply that r^{n+1} be in $I(I + rR)^n = r^n I + \dots + I^{n+1}$, and this is precisely the condition for r to satisfy an equation of integral dependence on I of degree $n + 1$. This proves (a).

We prove (b) by induction on k . The result is clear if $k = 0$ and holds by hypothesis if $k = 1$. Assuming that $J^{n+k} = I^k J^n$, for $k \geq 1$ we have that

$$J^{n+k+1} = J^{n+k} J = (I^k J^n) J = I^k J^{n+1} = I^k I J^n = I^{k+1} J^n,$$

as required. This proves (b).

For (c), note that $Q^{r+n+1} = J^{n+1}Q^r = (IJ^n)Q^r = I(J^nQ^r) = IQ^{n+r}$.

It follows by induction on the number of elements needed to generate J over I that if J is finitely generated over I and integral over I then there is an integer n such that $J^{n+1} = IJ^n$.

Next, we want to show that if R is Noetherian and $J^{n+1} = IJ^n$ then J is integral over I . The condition continues to hold if we consider the images of I, J modulo a minimal prime of R , and so it suffices to consider the case where R is a domain. Moreover, if $I = (0)$ the result is immediate, and so we may assume that $I \neq 0$. Thus, J^n is a faithful R -module, and so the proof will be complete once we have established the first sentence of part (e).

Suppose that $JM = IM$ and let u_1, \dots, u_n be generators for M . Let r be an element of J . Then for every ν we can write $ru_\nu = \sum_{\mu=1}^n i_{\mu\nu}u_\mu$ where the $i_{\mu\nu} \in I$. Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the size n identity matrix, and let B denote the size n matrix $(i_{\mu\nu})$. Let U be an $n \times 1$ column vector whose entries are the u_i . Then, in matrix notation, $rU = BU$, so that $(r\mathbf{1} - B)U = 0$. Let C be the transpose of the cofactor matrix of $r\mathbf{1} - B$. Then $C(r\mathbf{1} - B)$ is $D\mathbf{1}$, where $D = \det(r\mathbf{1} - B)$ is the characteristic polynomial of B evaluated at r . It is easy to see that the characteristic polynomial of a matrix with entries in I is I -special. Now, when we multiply the equation $(r\mathbf{1} - B)U = 0$ on the left by C we find that $D\mathbf{1}U = 0$, i.e., that $DU = 0$, and since D kills all the generators of M and M is faithful, it follows that $D = 0$, giving an equation of integral dependence for r on I . This proves the first sentence of part (e), and also completes the proof of (d).

Finally, if R is a Noetherian domain and J is integral over I , then if $I = (0)$ we have that $J = (0)$ and we may choose $M = R$, while if $I \neq (0)$ then $J \neq (0)$. In this case we can choose n such that $J^{n+1} = IJ^n$, and we may take $M = J^n$. \square