

**CMSC 631 – Program Analysis and Understanding
Fall 2003**

Type Systems

The Need for a Type System

- Consider the (untyped) lambda calculus
 - $\text{false} = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$
 - $0 \text{ (Scott)} = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$
- Everything is encoded as a function
 - So we can easily misuse combinators
 - $\text{false } 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \text{ then } \dots \quad \text{etc} \dots$
 - This is no better than assembly language!

What is a Type System?

- A *type system* is some mechanism for distinguishing good programs from bad
 - Good programs = well typed
 - Bad programs = ill typed or not typable
- Examples:
 - $0 + 1 \quad // \text{ well typed}$
 - $\text{false } 0 \quad // \text{ ill-typed: can't apply a boolean}$
 - $1 + (\text{if true then } 0 \text{ else false}) \quad // \text{ ill-typed: can't add boolean to integer}$

A Definition of Type Systems

“A type system is a tractable syntactic method for proving the absence of certain program behaviors by classifying phrases according to the kinds of values they compute.”

– Benjamin Pierce, *Types and Programming Languages*

Simply-Typed Lambda Calculus

- $e ::= n \mid x \mid \lambda x:t.e \mid e e$
 - Functions include the type of their argument
 - We don't really need this, but it will come in handy
- $t ::= \text{int} \mid t \rightarrow t$
 - $t1 \rightarrow t2$ is the type of a function that, given an argument of type $t1$, returns a result of type $t2$
 - $t1$ is the *domain*, and $t2$ is the *range*

Type Judgments

- Our type system will prove *judgments* of the form
 - $A \vdash e : t$
 - “In type environment A , expression e has type t ”

Type Environments

- A *type environment* is a map from variables to types (a kind of symbol table)
 - \emptyset is the empty type environment
 - A closed term e is *well-typed* if $\emptyset \vdash e : t$ for some t
 - We'll abbreviate this as $\vdash e : t$
 - $A, x:t$ is just like A , except x now has type t
 - The type of x in $A, x:t$ is t
 - The type of $z \neq x$ in $A, x:t$ is the type of z in A
- When we see a variable in a program, we look in the type environment to find its type

Type Rules

$$\frac{}{A \vdash n : \text{int}} \qquad \frac{x \in \text{dom}(A)}{A \vdash x : A(x)}$$

$$\frac{A, x:t \vdash e : t'}{A \vdash \lambda x.t : t \rightarrow t'} \qquad \frac{A \vdash e_1 : t \rightarrow t' \quad A \vdash e_2 : t}{A \vdash e_1 e_2 : t}$$

Example

$A = - : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$

$$\frac{\frac{- \in \text{dom}(A)}{A \vdash - : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \quad A \vdash 3 : \text{int}}{A \vdash - 3 : \text{int}}$$

Another Example

$A = + : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$
 $B = A, x : \text{int}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{+ \in \text{dom}(B)}{B \vdash + : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \quad \frac{x \in \text{dom}(B)}{B \vdash x : \text{int}}}{B \vdash + x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \quad B \vdash 3 : \text{int}}{B \vdash + x 3 : \text{int}} \quad A \vdash 4 : \text{int}}{A \vdash (\lambda x.\text{int}. + x 3) 4 : \text{int}}$$

We'd usually use infix $x + 3$

An Algorithm for Type Checking

- Our type rules are deterministic
 - For each syntactic form, only one possible rule
- They define a natural type checking algorithm
 - $\text{TypeCheck} : \text{type env} \times \text{expression} \rightarrow \text{type}$
 - $\text{TypeCheck}(A, n) = \text{int}$
 - $\text{TypeCheck}(A, x) = \text{if } x \text{ in } \text{dom}(A) \text{ then } A(x) \text{ else fail}$
 - $\text{TypeCheck}(A, \lambda x.t.e) = \text{TypeCheck}((A, x:t), e)$
 - $\text{TypeCheck}(A, e_1 e_2) =$
 - let $t_1 = \text{TypeCheck}(A, e_1)$ in
 - let $t_2 = \text{TypeCheck}(A, e_2)$ in
 - if $\text{dom}(t_1) = t_2$ then $\text{range}(t_1)$ else fail

Semantics

- Here is our semantics, with integers
 - Notice that the last rule requires that e_1 is a function
 - The other cases are undefined (i.e., an error)

$$\frac{}{n \rightarrow^I n} \qquad \frac{}{(\lambda x.e_1) \rightarrow^I (\lambda x.e_1)}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow^I \lambda x.e \quad e[e_2/x] \rightarrow^I e'}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow^I e'}$$

Semantics with Error

- If we want to make this precise, can add a new term **error**
 - Invalid programs reduce to **error**

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow^I n}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow^I \text{error}}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow^I \text{error}}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow^I \text{error}}$$

Soundness

- Theorem:** If e is a closed term and $\vdash e : t$ for some t , then $e \rightarrow^I e'$ where e' is not error
 - Note: I will omit the argument types in the proof
- Lemma:** If $\vdash e : t$ and $e \rightarrow^I e'$, then $\vdash e' : t$
 - Notice e' cannot be **error**, because no type rules for it
 - Proof: By induction on the reduction $e \rightarrow^I e'$
 - Base case $e = n$ trivial
 - Base case $e = \lambda x.e$ trivial

Soundness (cont'd)

- Induction:** Suppose $e = e_1 e_2$
 - Then by assumption this type checks by the rule

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : u \rightarrow u' \quad \vdash e_2 : u}{\vdash e_1 e_2 : u}$$
 - Since $\vdash e_1 : u \rightarrow u'$, by induction we have $e_1 \rightarrow^I e_1'$ where $\vdash e_1' : u \rightarrow u'$. Then looking at the type rules, the only reduction result that could be typed as a function is an expression $\lambda x.e$. But then we can use the reduction rule

$$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow^I \lambda x.e \quad e_2[e_2/x] \rightarrow^I e'}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow^I e'}$$

Soundness (cont'd)

- Also, since $\vdash \lambda x.e : u \rightarrow u'$, we must have applied the type rule

$$\frac{x:u \vdash e : u'}{\vdash \lambda x.e : u \rightarrow u'}$$
- Then since $x:u \vdash e : u'$ and $\vdash e_2 : u$, by the substitution lemma (omitted), we know $\vdash e[e_2/x] : u'$. Then by induction again, we know that $\vdash e' : u'$, and so we're done.

Product Types (Tuples)

$e ::= \dots \mid (e, e) \mid \text{fst } e \mid \text{snd } e$

$$\frac{A \vdash e_1 : t \quad A \vdash e_2 : t}{A \vdash (e_1, e_2) : t \times t}$$

$$\frac{A \vdash e : t \times t}{A \vdash \text{fst } e : t} \quad \frac{A \vdash e : t \times t}{A \vdash \text{snd } e : t}$$

- Or, maybe, just add functions

- $\text{pair} : t \rightarrow t' \rightarrow t \times t'$
- $\text{fst} : t \times t' \rightarrow t$
- $\text{snd} : t \times t' \rightarrow t'$

Sum Types (Tagged Unions)

$e ::= \dots \mid \text{inL}_{t_2} e \mid \text{inR}_{t_1} e$
 $\mid (\text{case } e \text{ of } x_1:t_1 \rightarrow e_1 \mid x_2:t_2 \rightarrow e_2)$

$$\frac{A \vdash e : t_1}{A \vdash \text{inL}_{t_2} e : t_1 + t_2} \quad \frac{A \vdash e : t_2}{A \vdash \text{inR}_{t_1} e : t_1 + t_2}$$

$$\frac{A, x_1:t_1 \vdash e_1 : t \quad A, x_2:t_2 \vdash e_2 : t}{A \vdash (\text{case } e \text{ of } x_1:t_1 \rightarrow e_1 \mid x_2:t_2 \rightarrow e_2) : t}$$