

CMSC 330: Organization of Programming Languages

Lambda Calculus and Types

Introduction

- We've seen that several language conveniences aren't strictly necessary
 - Multi-argument functions (use currying or tuples)

```
let fst (x,y) = x
```

```
let fst x y = x
```

```
let fst p =  
  match p with  
  (x,y) -> x
```

```
let fst x =  
  fun y -> x
```

Introduction

- Loops (use recursion)
- Side-effects

```
r = 0;  
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {  
  r += i;  
}
```

```
let rec sum i r =  
  if i >= n then r  
  else sum (i+1) (r+i)  
in  
sum 0 0
```

Introduction

Goal: Come up with a “core” language that's as small as possible and still Turing complete

This will give a way of illustrating important language features and algorithms

Lambda Calculus Syntax

- A lambda calculus expression is defined as

$e ::= x$	variable
$\lambda x.e$	function
$e e$	function application

- $\lambda x.e$ is like `(fun x -> e)` in OCaml
- That's it! All there is is higher-order functions

Conventions

- The scope of λ extends as far to the right as possible

$\lambda x. \lambda y. x y$ is $\lambda x. (\lambda y. (x y))$

- Function application is left-associative

$x y z$ is $(x y) z$

- Same rule as OCaml

Operational Semantics

An interpreter in "math"

- All we've got are functions, so all we can do is call them
- To evaluate $(\lambda x.e1) e2$
 - Evaluate $e1$ with x bound to $e2$

beta-reduction

$(\lambda x.e1) e2 \rightarrow e1[x/e2]$

- $e1[x/e2]$ is $e1$ where occurrences of x are replaced by $e2$
- Slightly different than the environments we saw for OCaml
 - apply a substitution instead of carry an environment
- We allow reductions to occur anywhere in a term

Examples

- $(\lambda x.x) z \rightarrow z$
- $(\lambda x.y) z \rightarrow y$
- $(\lambda x.x y) z \rightarrow zy$
 - A function that applies its argument to y
- $(\lambda x.x y) (\lambda z.z) \rightarrow (\lambda z.z) y \rightarrow y$
- $(\lambda x.\lambda y.x y) z \rightarrow \lambda y.z y$
 - A curried function of two arguments that applies its first argument to its second
- $(\lambda x.\lambda y.x y) (\lambda z.zz) x \rightarrow \lambda y.((\lambda z.zz)y)x \rightarrow (\lambda z.zz)x \rightarrow xx$

Syntactic sugar for local declarations

let $x = e1$ in $e2$

can be written as

$(\lambda x. e2) e1$

Static Scoping and Alpha Conversion

- Lambda calculus uses static scoping
- Consider the following
 - $(\lambda x. x (\lambda x. x)) z \rightarrow ?$
 - The rightmost "x" refers to the second binding
 - This is a function that takes its argument and applies it to the identity function
 - This function is "the same" as $(\lambda x. x (\lambda y. y))$
 - Renaming bound variables consistently is allowed

alpha-conversion (alpha-renaming)

Ex. $\lambda x. x = \lambda y. y = \lambda z. z$ $\lambda y. \lambda x. y = \lambda z. \lambda x. z$

Static Scoping (cont'd)

- How about the following?
 - $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) y \rightarrow ?$
 - When we replace y inside, we don't want it to be "captured" by the inner binding of y
- This function is "the same" as $(\lambda x. \lambda z. x z)$

Beta-Reduction, Again

- Whenever we do a step of beta reduction...
 - $(\lambda x. e1) e2 \rightarrow e1[x/e2]$
 - ...alpha-convert variables as necessary
- Examples:
 - $(\lambda x. x (\lambda x. x)) z = (\lambda x. x (\lambda y. y)) z \rightarrow z (\lambda y. y)$
 - $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) y = (\lambda x. \lambda z. x z) y \rightarrow \lambda z. y z$