

□ **Male Competition**

□ Because male success is dependent upon access to females, not food, males compete for mates in a number of ways

- By being more attractive
- By beating up the competition
- Not all male-male competition is physical violence
 - Ex: sperm competition in multi-male, multi-female social groups

□ One-male multi-female groups

- intense competition and infanticide
- Males compete with each other for access to groups of females
- Tenure of resident males in groups is often very short
- When males join groups, they often commit *infanticide* (kill infants)

□ Infanticide (killing infants)

- Selection favors this strategy because natural selection usually acts on individuals and on relative fitness
- sexually-selected male reproductive “strategy”
 - Lactation inhibits female cycling
 - Unweaned infants death makes females available for reproduction sooner
 - Because male tenure is short (-2 years), infanticide enhances male’s reproductive opportunities in the context of one-male, multi-female groups
- If infanticide is a sexually-selected male reproductive strategy, then we would predict and some empirical data (observations) show:
 - Infanticide will be linked to changes in male residence or status
 - Males will kill unweaned infants only

- o Males won't kill their own infants
- o Infanticidal males will gain reproductive benefits from their actions

□

□

Primate Behavioral Ecology II: Evolution of Cooperation

□

Outline

- *Different types of social interactions*
- *The problem of altruism*
- *The solutions*
 - o *Kin selection*
 - o *Multilevel selection*
 - o *Reciprocal altruism*

□

□

Different types of social interactions

- Strategies used during social interactions can incur costs or impart benefits to the fitness of those involved
 - o GRAPH from book- cases between actor and recipient with a positive or negative fitness effect
 - +- Selfish: improves its own fitness at the detriment of someone else
 - ++ Mutualistic: both parties cooperate to get mutual benefit
 - -+ Altruistic: the actor does something that decreases its own benefit, to do someone else a favor
 - Highlighted to show cooperation that we will be focusing on
 - Calling reduces relative individual fitness of altruists if it increases chance of being eaten
 - -- Spiteful: ill go out of my way to knock you down, when in turn they pay a cost as well, both worse off

The Problem of Altruism

- Presence of altruism poses an evolutionary problem
- Altruistic acts are costly to self and beneficial to the fitness of others
- So how can altruistic behaviors, like alarm calling, evolve by natural selection?
- And yet, altruism occurs in nature
 - o Alarm calls
 - o Territorial defense
 - o Food sharing
 - o Communal care of young

▯ The Solution for Altruism

- How can altruism evolve: how can the frequency of altruists ever increase?
 - o Here's the trick:
 - o Social interactions must be nonrandom (assortative) such that altruists interact with other altruists more than with non-altruists
 - o Altruistic acts must be "directed" at other altruists.
 - o How do primates do this?

▯ Kin selection (Hamilton 1964)

- Assumes that altruism is underwritten by genes.
- We know close relatives share genes through common descent
- Altruists that selectively aid kin will tend to aid those who carry same gene for altruism (creating positive assortment).
- Hamilton's Rule: Altruistic acts will be favored by selection when: